akerman

Practice Update

Will Permitting Under Florida's Wetlands Program Get Any Easier?

July 16, 2018 By Silvia M. Alderman

The development community is watching closely. Will the wetlands permitting process really be better in 2019? In some cases, yes. Well, maybe. In other cases, probably not. This year, Florida's legislature authorized the state to assume the dredge and fill permitting program established under Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act. The particulars are defined in s. 373.4146, F.S. This momentous step has been contemplated for decades. What moved it to the forefront this year? It was no doubt born of a desire to streamline an extremely cumbersome system. But what does it really do?

The agencies are presently negotiating and receiving public comment on the implementing rules (FAC Rules 62-330 and 62-331) and are working on an interagency memorandum of understanding (MOU) that says who will do what. Unfortunately, the transfer of the 404 permit program to Florida will not be complete. This is unfortunate primarily because there will be lingering confusion over who will do what. The actual areas that will be subject to DEP jurisdiction will be called "assumed waters." The MOU will define "assumed waters." The Corps will continue to issue permits for waters that are not assumed called "retained waters." What those are is still under discussion. Some would loosely refer to the assumed wetlands as isolated wetlands, though this is not strictly accurate. Navigable waters

Related People

Silvia M. Alderman

Related Work

Environment and Natural Resources Real Estate Water Task Force

Related Offices

Tallahassee

jurisdiction is expected to remain with the Corps. The June 28, 2018 draft of the MOU contained this division of waters:

III. Waters to be Assumed

A. All waters of the United States, as defined at 40 C.F.R. § 232.2, within the State will be assumed by DEP as part of its State 404 Program, with the exception of those waters which are presently used, or are susceptible to use in their natural condition or by reasonable improvement, as a means to transport interstate or foreign commerce shoreward to their ordinary high water mark, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide shoreward to their mean high water mark, including wetlands adjacent thereto as described in Section III.B., below. For purposes of this agreement, the Corps shall retain permitting authority over those Section 10. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 waters, which have been included, as of the effective date of this MOA. on the Jacksonville District Navigable Waters List for the State of Florida and the Supplement to the Jacksonville District Navigable Waters List for the State of Florida (Attachments A and B, respectively), except those waters included on the list based solely on their historical use. This is consistent with the majority recommendations in the May 2017 Final Report of the Assumable Waters National Advisory Council for Environmental Policy and Technology (NACEPT) Subcommittee.

B. The Corps shall retain permitting authority over wetlands adjacent to retained waters, which is defined as those wetlands that are located within 300 feet of the ordinary high water mark of non-tidally influenced surface waters or the mean high water mark of those tidally influenced surface waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. This is consistent with the majority recommendations in the May 2017 Final Report of the Assumable Waters NACEPT Subcommittee.

C. In those waters over which DEP does not assume jurisdiction under the State 404 Program, DEP will retain jurisdiction under State law, and both State and federal requirements will continue to apply.

Applicants will still need both an environmental resource permit (ERP) and a 404 permit. The difference is that the 404 application for assumed waters would be filed with the state permitting entity (most probably the water management district with jurisdiction). The 404 permit will not be issued until the ERP is issued, unless the activity is exempt. One piece of good news is that no fees are to be charged for 404 permits. Procedurally, the rule creates a much more complex interagency review process than presently exists. Public notice and opportunity for comment are required (as is presently the case with 404 permits) and the state entity issuing the 404 permit will not be able to issue the permit until the later of 60 days after technical completeness or close of the public comment period. If EPA responds with objections within 30 days, the state permitting entity must address those concerns. If there is a deadlock, the Corps will take action on the contested permit.

This intertwined process is not unlike the delegated NPDES permit process, for those familiar with EPA's delegation of industrial and domestic wastewater permitting to Florida many years ago. In the beginning there was much confusion but now, decades after its inception, the process flows relatively smoothly, with very little EPA involvement. After a few years of bumps, it is likely that the 404 delegation will be equally smoothed out. The only difference is that there will always be that discussion over what constitutes assumed waters.

In addition to individual permits, the draft rule contemplates 33 general permits based on Corps

Nationwide Permits. They will be 5 years in duration and will be subject to conditions that include ERP permit conditions. These permits will be for projects with minimal individual or cumulative impacts. Mitigation may be required as well as notice to the agency. After assumption of the 404 program by DEP, the Corps will no longer issue nationwide permits, regional general permits, state programmatic general permits or any other general permits for activities in assumed waters.

- Mitigation is expected to follow this hierarchy:
- Mitigation Bank credits
- Corps in-lieu fee program credits
- Permittee-responsible mitigation under a watershed approach
- Permittee-responsible mitigation through on-site and in-kind mitigation
- Permittee-responsible mitigation through off-site and/or out-of-kind mitigation.

It should be an interesting few months as this process unfolds while litigation rages elsewhere on precisely what waters are subject to Corps regulation. Stay tuned.

This Akerman Practice Update is intended to inform firm clients and friends about legal developments, including recent decisions of various courts and administrative bodies. Nothing in this Practice Update should be construed as legal advice or a legal opinion, and readers should not act upon the information contained in this Update without seeking the advice of legal counsel.